

# A bibliometric analysis of chatbot technology in healthcare: study protocol

Zhao Ni, Mary L. Peng, Vimala Balakrishnan, Vincent Tee, Iskandar Azwa, Rumana Saifi, LaRon Nelson, David Vlahov, Frederick Altice

Submitted to: JMIR Research Protocols on: November 07, 2023

**Disclaimer:** © **The authors. All rights reserved.** This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on it's website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressively prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

### Table of Contents

Original Manuscript	5
upplementary Files	
	24
Multimedia Appendixes	25
Multimedia Appendix 1	

### A bibliometric analysis of chatbot technology in healthcare: study protocol

Zhao Ni<sup>1,2</sup> PhD, BMedSci; Mary L. Peng<sup>3</sup>; Vimala Balakrishnan<sup>4</sup>; Vincent Tee<sup>5</sup> MD; Iskandar Azwa<sup>6,7</sup> MD; Rumana Saifi<sup>6</sup> PhD; LaRon Nelson<sup>1,2</sup> PhD; David Vlahov<sup>1,2</sup> PhD; Frederick Altice<sup>6,8,9,2</sup> MD

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Zhao Ni PhD, BMedSci School of Nursing Yale University 400 West Campus Drive New Haven US

#### Abstract

**Background:** Chatbots have the potential to increase people's access to quality healthcare. However, the implementation of chatbot technology in healthcare system is unclear due to the scarce analysis of publications on the adoption of chatbot in health and medical settings.

**Objective:** This paper presents a protocol of a bibliometric analysis aimed at providing a comprehensive overview of the current research on health-related chatbots.

Methods: In this bibliometric analysis, we will select published papers from the databases of CINAHL, IEEE Xplorer, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science that pertain to chatbot technology and its applications in healthcare. Our search strategy includes keywords such as "chatbot", "virtual agent", "virtual assistant", "conversational agent", "conversational AI", "interactive agent", "health", and "healthcare". Five researchers who are AI engineers and clinicians will independently review the titles and abstracts of selected papers to determine their eligibility for a full-text review. The corresponding author (ZN) will serve as a mediator to address any discrepancies and disputes among the five reviewers. Our analysis will encompass various publication patterns of chatbot research, including the number of annual publications, their geographic or institutional distribution, and the number of annual grants supporting chatbot research, and further summarize the methodologies employed in the development of health-related chatbots, along with their features and applications in healthcare settings. Software tool VOSViewer (Version 1.6.19, Leiden University, The Netherlands) will be used to construct and visualize bibliometric networks.

**Results:** The preparation for the bibliometric analysis began on December 03, 2021, when the research team started the process of familiarizing themselves with the software tools that may be used in this analysis, VOSViewer and CiteSpace, during which they consulted three librarians at Yale University regarding search terms and tentative results. Tentative searches on the aforementioned databases yielded a total of 3,148 articles. The official search phase started on July 27, 2023. Our goal is to complete the screening of articles and the analysis by December 30, 2023.

**Conclusions:** This bibliometric analysis aims to offer the public insights into the current state and emerging trends in research related to the utilization of chatbot technology for promoting health. It seeks to fill the knowledge gap in the existing literature on health-related chatbots, entailing their applications, the software employed in their development, and their preferred functionalities among users. Clinical Trial: N/A

(JMIR Preprints 07/11/2023:54349)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.54349

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>School of Nursing Yale University New Haven US

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Center for Interdisciplinary Research on AIDS (CIRA) Yale University New Haven US

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Global Health and Social Medicine Harvard Medical School Harvard University Boston US

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Information Systems Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur MY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur MY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Centre of Excellence for Research in AIDS (CERiA) Faculty of Medicine University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur MY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Infectious Disease Unit Faculty of Medicine University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur MY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Section of Infectious Disease Department of Internal Medicine Yale School of Medicine New Haven US

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Division of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases Yale School of Public Health New Haven US

#### **Preprint Settings**

- 1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?
  - Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).
  - Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users. Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.
- ✓ No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.
- 2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?
- ✓ Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain ves, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above

# **Original Manuscript**

**Title:** A bibliometric analysis of chatbot technology in healthcare: study protocol

Target journal: JMIR Research Protocols

#### **ABSTRACT**

#### **Background:**

Chatbots have the potential to increase people's access to quality healthcare. However, the implementation of chatbot technology in healthcare system is unclear due to the scarce analysis of publications on the adoption of chatbot in health and medical settings.

#### **Objective:**

This paper presents a protocol of a bibliometric analysis aimed at offering the public insights into the current state and emerging trends in research related to the utilization of chatbot technology for promoting health.

#### **Methods:**

In this bibliometric analysis, we will select published papers from the databases of CINAHL, IEEE Xplorer, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science that pertain to chatbot technology and its applications in healthcare. Our search strategy includes keywords such as "chatbot", "virtual agent", "virtual assistant", "conversational agent", "conversational AI", "interactive agent", "health", and "healthcare". Five researchers who are AI engineers and clinicians will independently review the titles and abstracts of selected papers to determine their eligibility for a full-text review. The corresponding author (ZN) will serve as a mediator to address any discrepancies and disputes among the five reviewers. Our analysis will encompass various publication patterns of chatbot research, including the number of annual publications, their geographic or institutional distribution, and the number of annual grants supporting chatbot research, and further summarize the methodologies employed in the development of health-related chatbots, along with their features and applications in healthcare settings. Software tool VOSViewer (Version 1.6.19, Leiden University, The Netherlands) will be used to construct and visualize bibliometric networks.

#### **Results:**

The preparation for the bibliometric analysis began on December 03, 2021, when the research

team started the process of familiarizing themselves with the software tools that may be used in this analysis, VOSViewer and CiteSpace, during which they consulted three librarians at Yale University regarding search terms and tentative results. Tentative searches on the aforementioned databases yielded a total of 2,340 articles. The official search phase started on July 27, 2023. Our goal is to

complete the screening of articles and the analysis by December 30, 2023.

**Conclusions:** 

Artificial intelligence chatbot, such as ChatGPT, has sparked numerous discussions within the healthcare industry regarding its impact on human health. Chatbot technology holds substantial promise for advancing healthcare systems worldwide. However, developing a sophisticated chatbot capable of precise interaction with healthcare consumers, delivering personalized care, and providing accurate health-related information and knowledge remains a considerable challenge. This bibliometric analysis seeks to fill the knowledge gap in the existing literature on health-related chatbots, entailing their applications, the software employed in their development, and their preferred functionalities among users.

**Keywords:** 

Artificial intelligence; AI; bibliometric analysis; chatbots; healthcare; health promotion

#### INTRODUCTION

Chatbots are software applications that utilize computerized algorithms to simulate conversation with human users through text or voice interactions.[1, 2] Since their inception in the 1960s, chatbots have found applications in various settings, such as airlines, banks, hotel chains, and information technology companies, serving as virtual agents to handle and streamline customers' queries and needs [3]. Compared to human agents, chatbots can efficiently respond to a large number of users simultaneously, conserving human effort and time, while still providing users with a sense of human interaction [4]. Due to this advantageous feature, chatbots have been implemented in healthcare settings to automatically resolve or deflect repetitive calls, thereby reducing waiting times for healthcare consumers and enabling healthcare professionals to focus on more complex cases. Driven by the evolution of Industry 4.0, characterized by the integration of digital technologies, data, and automation to create more efficient and responsive societal systems, the future of high-quality healthcare hinges on the automation and digitalization of data exchange. Against this social-technological backdrop, artificial intelligence (AI) chatbots, also known as conversational AI, hold substantial promise as innovative tools for advancing our healthcare systems [5].

AI chatbots have been developed to automate and streamline various tasks for healthcare consumers, including retrieving health information, providing online health support, and offering therapeutic cares [6]. The literature reveals that AI chatbots commonly fulfill roles such as assisting individuals in scheduling medical appointments, identifying health clinics, and providing health educational information [7, 8]. Research has also shown that healthcare professionals, patients, and families exhibit favorable attitudes toward the use of chatbot technology to enhance health outcomes [9-13].

While AI chatbots hold considerable potential to drive significant advancements and improvements in healthcare [14, 15], their application in healthcare is still in its early stages. A significant barrier to the deployment of chatbot technology in healthcare systems is the lack of sophisticated AI algorithms capable of facilitating precise and personalized human-chatbot interactions to meet the expectations of healthcare providers or fulfill the needs of healthcare consumers [11]. For instance, in the United States, health-related chatbots have been developed to monitor the health status of patients with chronic heart failure [16], screen for osteoporosis in menopausal women [17], and detect colorectal cancer in the general population [18]. However, their effectiveness in clinical trials was found to be limited when compared to health professional assessments. To fully realize the potential of chatbot technology in healthcare systems, more studies are needed to develop more sophisticated AI algorithms that are culturally tailored, theoretically informed, and trained based on clinical needs [19-22]. Creating such sophisticated AI chatbots presents a challenge for both health scientists and chatbot engineers, necessitating iterative collaboration between the two [23]. Specifically, after chatbot engineers develop a chatbot prototype, health scientists evaluate it and provide feedback for further refinement. Chatbot engineers then upgrade the chatbot, followed by health scientists testing the updated version, training it, and conducting further assessments. This iterative cycle can impose significant demands in terms of time and funding before a chatbot is equipped with the necessary knowledge and language skills to deliver precise responses to its users.

Bibliometric analysis is a quantitative research method to discern publication patterns within a specific timeframe [24]. Scholars use this type of analysis to elucidate the intellectual structure of a particular area within the realm of existing literature [25]. Despite the increasing popularity of health-related chatbots, no bibliometric analysis has been conducted to examine

their application. Studies on the coverage of health-related chatbot research have predominantly been conducted in the form of scoping or systematic reviews [20, 26, 27]. The current body of research articles lacks the breadth of a comprehensive scientific performance mapping analysis. Hence, this bibliometric analysis aims to identify the current status and emerging trends in chatbot technology research, serving as an initial stride for researchers worldwide to gain a comprehensive understanding on the landscape of health-related chatbots. This overview will facilitate the identification of areas for improvement and promote the integration of chatbot technology into healthcare systems.

Chatbot technology should be promoted in healthcare system because many digital health interventions have proven effective but are not implemented in real-clinical settings, as they often require high-intensity and sustained human inputs. For example, they often require researchers to regularly and manually send personalized reminders, provide real-time guidance, and initiate referrals [28, 29]. To bring population-level effects, digital health intervention needs to be automating personalized messages, modifying them based on responses, and providing new outputs in real-time [30]. Artificial intelligence chatbots have the potential to achieve these goals. For example, our previous formative research indicates a high level of acceptance toward the use of chatbot technology among venerable population are at high risk for HIV [2]. Additionally, we have conducted beta testing for chatbot technology in promoting HIV testing and prevention and found that participants believed chatbot technology provided them with a platform to protect their safety and privacy. This was particularly important in environments where stigma and discrimination toward HIV exist, and where same-sex behaviors are criminalized. Comparing with the conventional healthcare utilization model, where people need to face stigma and discrimination from healthcare providers, chatbots can provide them with a safe platform to ask

questions and receive consulting services. Therefore, promoting chatbot technology holds significance for enhancing the current healthcare system and an anonymous user setting in chatbots is necessary to protect health consumers' privacy [2].

#### **METHODS**

#### **Bibliographic search**

We will conduct searches in the following databases: CINAHL (EBSCOHost), IEEE Xplorer (IEEE), PubMed, Scopus (Elsevier), and Web of Science (Clarivate), to ensure a comprehensive coverage of research on health-related chatbots. We have identified a set of consensus search keywords related to chatbots and healthcare through a review of previous systematic reviews [19-22] and consultations with university librarians who possess expertise in informatics and digital health. Our search strategy includes keywords such as "chatbot", "virtual agent", "virtual assistant", "conversational agent", "conversational AI", "interactive agent", "health", and "healthcare". A retrieval search string, including the keywords with Boolean operators listed in Appendix 1, will be used.

#### **Selection of studies**

We will include articles on chatbots that are used to promote health outcomes. All interventional and observational studies published as journal articles or conference proceedings will be included. To offer a holistic view of the evolving usage of chatbots in healthcare, we will not set restriction on the year of publication. Moreover, we will not exclude articles published in non-English language to incorporate research findings from low- and middle- income countries [31]. Studies that do not discuss the use of chatbot to promote health or wellness will be excluded. Systematic reviews pertaining only to chatbot designs and development, purposes, or

features will be excluded. Articles such as editorials, dissertations, pre-prints, and letters to the editor will also be excluded.

Search results from each database will be imported into Covidence (Veritas Health Innovation Ltd, Melbourne, Australia), a systematic review management software (<a href="www.covidence.org">www.covidence.org</a>). Duplicate papers will be identified and removed. Five researchers will independently screen the titles and abstracts of all articles and categorize them as either "include", "exclude", or "unsure" based on the following inclusion criteria: 1) related to chatbot, and 2) related to health promotion. To provide a comprehensive overview of the current research on health-related chatbots, we will include articles about chatbots designed for various populations, including patients, clinicians, policy makers, or the general population. We will not exclude articles based on their study design. Articles marked as "unsure" will be downloaded and assessed for eligibility. The eligibility assessment will be performed by two authors (VB and VT) who are an AI engineer and a clinician. In the event of disagreements, the two authors will discuss in team meetings with the corresponding author (ZN) to reach a consensus.

#### **Data extraction**

Selected studies will be downloaded from Covidence (Veritas Health Innovation Ltd, Melbourne, Australia) and imported into VOSViewer (Version 1.6.19, Leiden University, The Netherlands), a Java-based bibliometric analysis visualization software application. We will use VOSViewer to extract data related to chatbot publication patterns, encompassing the number of annual publications, the distribution of countries and institutions involved in chatbot research, the number of annual grants that supported chatbot research, the number of funders, the number of journals publishing chatbot research, the number of journal citations, the most prolific authors in the field of chatbots, author network maps, and the most frequently used keywords related to

chatbots. Additionally, using Excel, we will manually extract data regarding the number of interventional and observational studies, the methodologies employed in creating chatbots, the number of chatbots deployed worldwide, and the usage of chatbots.

#### **Data analysis**

#### **Research Characteristics**

In this bibliometric analysis, we will analyze the characteristics of chatbot research based on the topics of the selected studies, identified through their reported keywords, such as primary functions and disease domains. We will report the frequency and percentage of the top keywords and topics by following the framework in previous research to measure the centrality of a keyword using its frequency scores [32].

#### **Publication patterns**

We will report the trend of yearly number of publications and showcase the growth rate of publication by computing the monthly publication rate each year from the earliest publication selected to the latest. To control for the "publication noise", a surge in publications following the releases of ChatGPT, may present to this study, we will stratify the data by publication date before and after the emergence of ChatGPT and conduct sensitivity analyses to distinguish between the direct impact of ChatGPT and other trends in chatbot research. This approach will help to ensure that our findings reflect the broader trends in chatbot technology research and are not disproportionately influenced by the recent increase in publications related to a single event or development. We will calculate the frequencies and percentages of publications for each journal and country in each publication year, whereby we identify the countries and institutions associated with the publication based on affiliations of the corresponding authors. We will report the trend of research support by identifying the number of grants and funders as reported under

each study's source of funding. Moreover, we will compute the distribution of the following two indicators including (1) the methodology used to create chatbot, and (2) the implementation of health chatbots.

#### Research hotspot and connectedness

We will use the number of journal citations to construct bursts, whereby clusters will be sorted by the keywords used by the study. We will further report the most prolific authors based on a combined metric of number of publication and citation frequency. We will present two author network maps using author names and research institutions affiliated with the listed authors. Authors and affiliated institutions will be the nodes within each network connected by edges representing co-authorship of publications [33]. Edges will be weighted by the number of co-authorship between the same listed authors and their affiliated institutions.

#### **RESULTS**

The preparation for the bibliometric analysis began on December 03, 2021, with the initial steps involving the research team familiarizing themselves with VOSViewer and CiteSpace, followed by online consultations with three librarians from Yale University. Tentative searches in the databases yielded a total of 2,340 articles. The official search phase began on July 27, 2023. Our goal is to complete the screening of articles and the analysis by December 30, 2023. We anticipate a significant increase in chatbot research following the emergence of ChatGPT.

#### **DISCUSSION**

AI chatbots hold strong potential to transform the field of healthcare. For example,

ChatGPT, an AI chatbot developed by OpenAI, has sparked numerous discussions within the healthcare industry regarding the impact of AI chatbots on human health [14, 15, 34-39]. Our team has been developing an AI chatbot since June 2019, and we have discovered that developing a sophisticated AI chatbot capable of precise interaction with healthcare consumers, delivering personalized care, and providing accurate health-related information and knowledge remains a considerable challenge. One of the major obstacles faced by health scientists interested in chatbot research is their lack of familiarity with chatbots' underlying technologies, such as the computational systems, software platforms, and underlying algorithms that train chatbots and enable their automation and individualization. Similarly, chatbot engineers may have limited insight into the challenges faced by patients and healthcare providers in real-world clinical settings, making it difficult for them to fully grasp the nuances and directions of chatbot technology. Such information asymmetry in interdisciplinary collaboration hinders healthadvancing chatbot technology from reaching its full potential. More literature that can offer diverse stakeholders, ranging from health scientists, healthcare providers, to chatbot developers, insights into a holistic roadmap to creating effective chatbots to meet healthcare needs is thus urgently needed to facilitate such interdisciplinary endeavors.

Chatbot technology holds immense potential to enhance healthcare quality for both patients and professionals through streamlining administrative processes and assisting with assessment, diagnosis, and treatment. Used for health information acquisition, chatbot-powered search, as we anticipate, will become an important complement to traditional web-based searches. This trend is primarily driven by the convenience of chatbot-powered search for users, as it eliminates the need for users to manually sift through search results as required in traditional web-based searches. However, no recognized standards or guidelines have been established for

creating health-related chatbots. We believe that with theory-informed and well-trained algorithms, chatbots can also be employed as healthcare virtual assistants to provide consumers and patients with quick, precise, and individualized answers. As demonstrated by the widespread adoption of ChatGPT in recent times, it is reasonable to anticipate that the development and implementation of AI chatbots will substantially enhance the efficiency and convenience of healthcare consumers' information search. Existing studies have also shown that AI chatbots can increase health appointment volume by automating the scheduling process for healthcare consumers, achieved through a user-friendly platform that enables appointment scheduling, verification, and cancellation with ease, further leading to reduced no-show rates. For example, Weill Cornell Medicine reported a 47% increase in appointments booked online through the use of AI chatbots [40]. Moreover, chatbot technology can enhance the work efficiency of nurses, physicians, and other healthcare professionals by delivering prompt responses to inquiries related to clinical practice standards, eliminating the need to navigate prolonged websites or extensive clinical guidelines for specific information.

Despite the obvious benefits of chatbot technology in healthcare, several potential risks of using chatbots exist, including breaching privacy, providing misinformation, and generating systematically biased responses [2, 7-9]. These risks are relevant to the nature of chatbot technology, in which chatbot developers need to maximize a personalized experience and enable chatbots to provide users with precision answers through training chatbots [12]. However, training chatbots requires chatbot technology to have access to a wealth of users' personal data. This poses a major threat of employing chatbots in healthcare. To address privacy issues, chatbot developers and researchers must ensure that users' data are protected using encryption during human-chatbot interactions or when a chatbot needs to retrieve backend data [2]. Second,

misinformation is originated from the immature or flaws of the chatbot algorithms. Training a chatbot is an iterative process that demands a large dataset and vetting of the outputs by researchers. During a chatbot creation, the earlier versions of chatbot often provide redundant and impersonalized information that may prevent users from using the chatbot. To increase chatbot usability, a chatbot must be precise enough in its communications with users or can connect users to a human agent if it necessary [11, 12]. Third, even well-trained chatbots can provide biased responses or solutions to users [14]. This is particularly true if the datasets used to train chatbots are biased. To minimize these risks of using chatbots in healthcare, it is necessary for researchers to validate chatbot outputs and reduce biases of the datasets used to train a chatbot. Only by adopting this approach, quality chatbots with high usability can be employed to promote healthcare.

To fully realize the potential of chatbot technology in improving health outcomes for everyone, sustained collaborative efforts from an interdisciplinary research team comprising chatbot engineers and health scientists are essential. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study aimed at summarizing the current status and future trends of chatbots in the healthcare field. This study will provide a broad overview of publications on health-related chatbot research and bridge the knowledge gap in the existing literature, including software used for chatbot development, popular functionalities, and chatbots' applications in healthcare. This study includes papers published since the inception of chatbot and is not confined by the language of publication. Consequently, it offers a global perspective on the evolution of chatbots within the healthcare domain. One limitation of this study is its nature as a bibliometric analysis, which does not explore topics in the same depth as a systematic review.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R21TW011663 for ZN and FLA) and faculty research funds from Yale University School of Nursing for ZN.

#### **DATA AVAILABILITY**

Data sharing is not applicable to this paper as this is a study protocol. Following the study protocol, a bibliometrics analysis will be conducted and the study findings will be published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal.

#### ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

This analysis does not involve recruiting human participants or providing interventions; therefore, ethical review is not required. We hope that the findings from the manuscript would aid researchers, engineers, health professionals, funders, and policy makers in their future implementation of the chatbot technology to facilitate innovative and efficient healthcare systems.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

ZN, VT, MP, and VB conceived the study and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. MP and VB helped to develop the bibliographic search and bibliometric analysis. All authors contributed to the development of the study protocol, revised the subsequent version of the manuscript, and approved the submitted version.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

#### REFERENCES

1. Kate Brush JS. What is a Chatbot and How Does it Work? . Techtarget; 2019 [cited 2021 1 September]; Available from: <a href="https://searchcustomerexperience.techtarget.com/definition/chatbot">https://searchcustomerexperience.techtarget.com/definition/chatbot</a>.

- 2. Peng ML, Wickersham JA, Altice FL, Shrestha R, Azwa I, Zhou X, et al. Formative Evaluation of the Acceptance of HIV Prevention Artificial Intelligence Chatbots By Men Who Have Sex With Men in Malaysia: Focus Group Study. JMIR Form Res. 2022 Oct 6;6(10):e42055. PMID: 36201390. doi: 10.2196/42055.
- 3. Coheur L, editor. From Eliza to Siri and Beyond. 2020; Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- 4. Luxton DD. Ethical implications of conversational agents in global public health. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2020 Apr 1;98(4):285-7. PMID: 32284654. doi: 10.2471/blt.19.237636.
- 5. Milne-Ives M, de Cock C, Lim E, Shehadeh MH, de Pennington N, Mole G, et al. The Effectiveness of Artificial Intelligence Conversational Agents in Health Care: Systematic Review. Journal of medical Internet research. 2020;22(10):e20346-e. PMID: 33090118. doi: 10.2196/20346.
- 6. The Medical Futurist. The Top 12 Health Chatbots. 2021 [cited 2021 November 18]; Available from: <a href="https://medicalfuturist.com/top-12-health-chatbots/">https://medicalfuturist.com/top-12-health-chatbots/</a>.
- 7. Palanica A, Flaschner P, Thommandram A, Li M, Fossat Y. Physicians' Perceptions of Chatbots in Health Care: Cross-Sectional Web-Based Survey. J Med Internet Res. 2019 Apr 5;21(4):e12887. PMID: 30950796. doi: 10.2196/12887.
- 8. Koman J, Fauvelle K, Schuck S, Texier N, Mebarki A. Physicians' Perceptions of the Use of a Chatbot for Information Seeking: Qualitative Study. J Med Internet Res. 2020 Nov 10;22(11):e15185. PMID: 33170134. doi: 10.2196/15185.
- 9. Abd-Alrazaq AA, Alajlani M, Ali N, Denecke K, Bewick BM, Househ M. Perceptions and Opinions of Patients About Mental Health Chatbots: Scoping Review. Journal of medical Internet research. 2021;23(1):e17828-e. PMID: 33439133. doi: 10.2196/17828.
- 10. Miles O, West R, Nadarzynski T. Health chatbots acceptability moderated by perceived stigma and severity: A cross-sectional survey. DIGITAL HEALTH. 2021;7:20552076211063012. PMID: 34917391. doi: 10.1177/20552076211063012.
- 11. Nadarzynski T, Miles O, Cowie A, Ridge D. Acceptability of artificial intelligence (AI)-led chatbot services in healthcare: A mixed-methods study. DIGITAL HEALTH. 2019;5:2055207619871808. PMID: 31467682. doi: 10.1177/2055207619871808.
- 12. Oh YJ, Zhang J, Fang M-L, Fukuoka Y. A systematic review of artificial intelligence chatbots for promoting physical activity, healthy diet, and weight loss. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2021 2021/12/11;18(1):160. doi: 10.1186/s12966-021-01224-6.
- 13. Palanica A, Flaschner P, Thommandram A, Li M, Fossat Y. Physicians' Perceptions of Chatbots in Health Care: Cross-Sectional Web-Based Survey. Journal of medical Internet research. 2019;21(4):e12887-e. PMID: 30950796. doi: 10.2196/12887.
- 14. Wang X, Sanders HM, Liu Y, Seang K, Tran BX, Atanasov AG, et al. ChatGPT: promise and challenges for deployment in low- and middle-income countries. Lancet Reg Health West Pac. 2023 Dec;41:100905. PMID: 37731897. doi: 10.1016/j.lanwpc.2023.100905.
- 15. Will ChatGPT transform healthcare? Nat Med. 2023 Mar;29(3):505-6. PMID: 36918736. doi: 10.1038/s41591-023-02289-5.
- 16. Galescu L, Allen J, Ferguson G, Quinn J, Swift M, editors. Speech recognition in a dialog system for patient health monitoring. 2009 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine Workshop; 2009 1-4 Nov. 2009.
- 17. Heyworth L, Kleinman K, Oddleifson S, Bernstein L, Frampton J, Lehrer M, et al. Comparison of interactive voice response, patient mailing, and mailed registry to encourage screening for osteoporosis:

a randomized controlled trial. Osteoporosis international: a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2014 May;25(5):1519-26. PMID: 24566584. doi: 10.1007/s00198-014-2629-1.

- 18. Simon SR, Zhang F, Soumerai SB, Ensroth A, Bernstein L, Fletcher RH, et al. Failure of Automated Telephone Outreach With Speech Recognition to Improve Colorectal Cancer Screening: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Archives of Internal Medicine. 2010;170(3):264-70. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.522.
- 19. Hoermann S, McCabe KL, Milne DN, Calvo RA. Application of Synchronous Text-Based Dialogue Systems in Mental Health Interventions: Systematic Review. J Med Internet Res. 2017 Jul 21;19(8):e267. PMID: 28784594. doi: 10.2196/jmir.7023.
- 20. de Cock C, Milne-Ives M, van Velthoven MH, Alturkistani A, Lam C, Meinert E. Effectiveness of Conversational Agents (Virtual Assistants) in Health Care: Protocol for a Systematic Review. JMIR research protocols. 2020 Mar 9;9(3):e16934. PMID: 32149717. doi: 10.2196/16934.
- 21. Geoghegan L, Scarborough A, Wormald JCR, Harrison CJ, Collins D, Gardiner M, et al. Automated conversational agents for post-intervention follow-up: a systematic review. BJS Open. 2021 Jul 6;5(4). PMID: 34323916. doi: 10.1093/bjsopen/zrab070.
- 22. Luo TC, Aguilera A, Lyles CR, Figueroa CA. Promoting Physical Activity Through Conversational Agents: Mixed Methods Systematic Review. J Med Internet Res. 2021 Sep 14;23(9):e25486. PMID: 34519653. doi: 10.2196/25486.
- 23. Corti K, Gillespie A. A truly human interface: interacting face-to-face with someone whose words are determined by a computer program. Frontiers in Psychology. 2015 2015-May-18;6(634). doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00634.
- 24. Linnenluecke MK, Marrone M, Singh AK. Conducting systematic literature reviews and bibliometric analyses. Australian Journal of Management. 2020;45(2):175-94. doi: 10.1177/0312896219877678.
- 25. Naveen D, Satish K, Debmalya M, Nitesh P, Weng Marc L. How to conduct a bibliometric analysis: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research. 2021;133:285-96. PMID: DONTHU2021285. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.04.070">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.04.070</a>.
- 26. Tudor Car L, Dhinagaran DA, Kyaw BM, Kowatsch T, Joty S, Theng YL, et al. Conversational Agents in Health Care: Scoping Review and Conceptual Analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2020 Aug 7;22(8):e17158. PMID: 32763886. doi: 10.2196/17158.
- 27. Gaffney H, Mansell W, Tai S. Conversational Agents in the Treatment of Mental Health Problems: Mixed-Method Systematic Review. JMIR mental health. 2019 Oct 18;6(10):e14166. PMID: 31628789. doi: 10.2196/14166.
- 28. Ni Z, Liu C, Wu B, Yang Q, Douglas C, Shaw RJ. An mHealth intervention to improve medication adherence among patients with coronary heart disease in China: Development of an intervention. International Journal of Nursing Sciences. 2018 2018/10/10/;5(4):322-30. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnss.2018.09.003">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnss.2018.09.003</a>.
- 29. Ni Z, Wu B, Yang Q, Yan LL, Liu C, Shaw RJ. An mHealth Intervention to Improve Medication Adherence and Health Outcomes Among Patients With Coronary Heart Disease: Randomized Controlled Trial. J Med Internet Res. 2022 2022/3/9;24(3):e27202. doi: 10.2196/27202.
- 30. Holloway IW, Winder TJ, Lea CH, III, Tan D, Boyd D, Novak D. Technology Use and Preferences for Mobile Phone-Based HIV Prevention and Treatment Among Black Young Men Who Have Sex With Men: Exploratory Research. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth. 2017 Apr 13;5(4):e46. PMID: 28408360. doi: 10.2196/mhealth.6436.
- 31. Walpole SC. Including papers in languages other than English in systematic reviews: important, feasible, yet often omitted. J Clin Epidemiol. 2019 Jul;111:127-34. PMID: 30951800. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2019.03.004.

32. Vega-Oliveros DA, Gomes PS, E. Milios E, Berton L. A multi-centrality index for graph-based keyword extraction. Information Processing & Management. 2019 2019/11/01/;56(6):102063. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2019.102063.

- 33. Bender ME, Edwards S, von Philipsborn P, Steinbeis F, Keil T, Tinnemann P. Using Co-authorship Networks to Map and Analyse Global Neglected Tropical Disease Research with an Affiliation to Germany. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2016;9(12):e0004182. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004182.
- 34. Sallam M. ChatGPT Utility in Healthcare Education, Research, and Practice: Systematic Review on the Promising Perspectives and Valid Concerns. Healthcare (Basel). 2023 Mar 19;11(6). PMID: 36981544. doi: 10.3390/healthcare11060887.
- 35. Cascella M, Montomoli J, Bellini V, Bignami E. Evaluating the Feasibility of ChatGPT in Healthcare: An Analysis of Multiple Clinical and Research Scenarios. J Med Syst. 2023 Mar 4;47(1):33. PMID: 36869927. doi: 10.1007/s10916-023-01925-4.
- 36. Sajjad M, Saleem R. Evolution of Healthcare with ChatGPT: A Word of Caution. Ann Biomed Eng. 2023 Aug;51(8):1663-4. PMID: 37219697. doi: 10.1007/s10439-023-03225-x.
- 37. Zheng Y, Wang L, Feng B, Zhao A, Wu Y. Innovating Healthcare: The Role of ChatGPT in Streamlining Hospital Workflow in the Future. Ann Biomed Eng. 2023 Jul 18. PMID: 37464178. doi: 10.1007/s10439-023-03323-w.
- 38. Howard A, Hope W, Gerada A. ChatGPT and antimicrobial advice: the end of the consulting infection doctor? Lancet Infect Dis. 2023 Apr;23(4):405-6. PMID: 36822213. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(23)00113-5.
- 39. Li H, Moon JT, Purkayastha S, Celi LA, Trivedi H, Gichoya JW. Ethics of large language models in medicine and medical research. Lancet Digit Health. 2023 Jun;5(6):e333-e5. PMID: 37120418. doi: 10.1016/s2589-7500(23)00083-3.
- 40. Feng H, Zou Z, Zhang Q, Wang L, Ouyang Y-Q, Chen Z, et al. The effect of the group-based Otago exercise program on frailty among nursing home older adults with cognitive impairment. Geriatric Nursing. 2021 2021/03/01/;42(2):479-83. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2021.02.012">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2021.02.012</a>.

# **Supplementary Files**

Untitled.

URL: http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/bfd26eca9daf3683fad22f73f50ea503.docx

# **Multimedia Appendixes**

A retrieval search string.

URL: http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/4fd75f7000b0e17c1b13f4024e3f2e36.docx